

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF SANTA FE
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Plaintiff,

v.

D-101-CR-2024-00013

ALEXANDER RAE BALDWIN,

Defendant.

**AMENDED ORDER DENYING BOWLES LAW FIRM MOTION TO
QUASH SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the parties and this matter.
2. This Order amends and replaces the June 10, 2024 Order Denying in Part Bowles Law Firm Motion to Quash Subpoena Duces Tecum.
3. The State sent Ms. Melinda Zamora a Subpoena Duces Tecum on April 24, 2024 requesting the following:
 - a. All documentation regarding communications between any employee or contract employee or agent of the Bowles Law Firm and Alexander Rae Baldwin, any attorneys or other employees of the Quinn, Emanuel, Urquhart, & Sullivan Law Firm, any attorneys acting on behalf of Mr. Baldwin, and any representatives of Mr. Baldwin or Mr. Baldwin's counsel. This documentation includes but is not limited to any notes, messages, e-mail, text messages, recordings, and any other forms of correspondence.
4. Mr. Bowles moved to quash the subpoena claiming that the subpoenaed information is privileged and protected under the common interest rule, also known as the joint defense privilege.
5. However, Mr. Bowles indicated in his May 20, 2024 Reply that he complied with the subpoena and provided all information relating to a communication between Mr. Baldwin and Ms. Zamora on December 1, 2021.
6. Mr. Bowles states he does not intend to waive his objections under the common interest/joint defense privilege through his compliance.

7. There is no motion to enforce before the Court contesting Mr. Bowles' compliance.
8. Because Mr. Bowles has obviated the need for his motion through compliance with the subpoena, the motion to quash subpoena is **DENIED** as moot.

Therefore, this Court **ORDERS, ADJUDGES, and DECREES**, the Motion to Quash the Subpoena is **DENIED** as moot.

It is so ordered.



Judge Marlowe Sommer
Division VIII