

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF SANTA FE
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, EX REL.,
RAÚL TORREZ, ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Plaintiff,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. D-101-CV-2023-02838


ORDER CORRECTING VERDICT FORM

On March 24, 2026, the jury in the above-captioned case returned its verdict on the attached verdict form in open court. As the court read the verdict form, jurors indicated that they had incorrectly filled out the answer to Question #7 and that it was intended to read 37,500, rather than the stated 37,000.00. The court gave counsel the following options:

1. Have the jury leave the courtroom and return to the deliberation room to correct the verdict form; or,
2. Correct the verdict form in open court.

Counsel for both parties agreed to correcting the verdict form in open court. The jury was then polled as to whether the verdict, read as corrected, accurately stated their verdict and they unanimously stated that it does.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the answer to Question #7 on the Verdict Form is corrected to be 37,500 so that it matches the answer provided to Question #3.



Hon. Bryan Biedscheid
Chief Judge
First Judicial District Court

VERDICT FORM

On the questions submitted, the jury finds as follows:

Question No. 1: Did Meta violate the Unfair Practices Act by engaging in an unfair or deceptive trade practice?

Answer Yes or No: YES NO

If your answer to Question No. 1 was "Yes," then continue to Question No. 2. If the answer to Question No. 1 was "No," then skip to Question No. 5.

Question No. 2: Did Meta act willfully by engaging in an unfair or deceptive trade practice?

Answer Yes or No: YES NO

If your answer to Question No. 2 was "Yes," then continue to Question Nos. 3 and 4. If the answer to Question No. 2 was "No," then skip to Question No. 5.

Question No. 3: In accordance with the civil penalty instruction and the instructions as a whole, how many willful violations did Meta commit by engaging in an unfair or deceptive trade practice?

Answer with a number: 37,500

Question No. 4: In accordance with the civil penalty instruction and the instructions as a whole, we determine that the civil penalty amount per violation in Question No. 3 should be as follows:

Answer with a number between \$0 and \$5,000: \$5000

Question No. 5: Did Meta violate the Unfair Practices Act by engaging in an unconscionable trade practice?

Answer Yes or No: YES NO

If your answer to Question No. 5 was "Yes," then continue to Question No. 6. If the answer to Question No. 5 was "No," you are not to answer further questions.

Question No. 6: Did Meta act willfully by engaging in an unconscionable trade practice?

Answer Yes or No: YES NO

If your answer to Question No. 6 was "Yes," then continue to Question Nos. 7 and 8. If the answer to Question No. 6 was "No," you are not to answer further questions.


Question No. 7: In accordance with the civil penalty instruction and the instructions as a whole, how many willful violations did Meta commit by engaging in an unconscionable trade practice?

Answer with a number: 37,000

Question No. 8: In accordance with the civil penalty instruction and the instructions as a whole, we determine that the civil penalty amount per violation in Question No. 7 should be as follows:

Answer with a number between \$0 and \$5,000: \$ 5000

When as many as ten of you have agreed upon each of your answers, your foreperson must sign this special verdict, and you will all return to open court.


Foreperson